

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,740	02/22/2002	Charles S. Musso JR.	21524/1100	4722	
75	90 03/17/2004		EXAMINER		
George R. Mc	George R. McGuire			KRAMER, DEAN J	
Bond, Schoenec	k & King, PLLC				
One Lincoln Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Syracuse, NY 13202			3652		

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

À			11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/082,740	MUSSO ET AL.	(NO			
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dean J. Kramer	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess			
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	": (1) a timely filed amendm peal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper rep ent which places the applic) a timely filed Request for	ation in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY (check either a) or I	D)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set it than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS and the on which the petition under 37 tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original and the statutory period for reply original	ng date of the final rejection. SOF THE FINAL REJECTION. So CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate unt of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action; or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			÷			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NO	T place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	because it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on 20 February 200	04 is a) \boxtimes approved or b)	disapproved by the Exar	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper l	No(s)				
0. Other:		Dean J. Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3652	3-15-04			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Adding the limitation to claims 1 and 23 that the body is "adapted for pivotal attachment to a dump truck frame" would raise a new issue regarding the 102(b) rejection set forth in the last Office action. It is pointed out that the newly proposed claims 1 and 23 appear to be similar to claims 12 and 27, respectively, and if entered would be rejected under a similar basis as those claims. In response to Applicant's remarks, it is noted that if the Gaddis et al. ('466) truck body were pivotally attached to a truck frame, as taught by several patents of record, and needed transverse support members for "maintaining structural integrity", these transverse members would not necessarily be attached to the floor section (14), one longitudinal support, AND the planar support plate as is called for in claims 1 and 12..